In the Matter of the Petition

of

WILLIAM LOEB

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

State of New York County of Albany

Catherine Steele , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 13th day of September , 1976, she served the within Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon William Loeb

by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed as follows:

Mr. William Loeb
205 West End Avenue

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

New York, New York 10023

Sworn to before me this

13th day of September , 1976

and mack

Cachering Steele



## STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

September 13, 1976

TELEPHONE: (518) 457-3850

Mr. William Loeb 205 West End Avenue New York, New York 10023

Dear Mr. Loeb:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(s) 690 & 722 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very that fours

May 1 B. Coburn

Supervising Tax Hearing Officer

Taxing Bureau's Representative:

Enc.

STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

WILLIAM LOEB

DECISION

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income and Unincorporated Business Taxes under Articles 22 and 23 of the Tax Law for the Year 1966.

Petitioner, William Loeb, residing at 205 West End Avenue, New York, New York, has filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income and unincorporated business taxes under Articles 22 and 23 of the Tax Law for the year 1966. (File No. 0-0001628). A formal hearing was held before Paul B. Coburn, Hearing Officer, at the office of The State Tax Commission, 2 World Trade Center, New York, New York, on September 24, 1975, at 2:35 P.M. Petitioner appeared pro se. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq., (Alexander Weiss, Esq. of Counsel).

## **ISSUES**

- I. Whether petitioner, William Loeb, met his burden of proof as to the several personal income tax disallowances for the year 1966.
- II. Whether the activities of petitioner, William Loeb, as a carton salesman during the year 1966 were conducted sufficiently independent of direction or control so as to constitute the carrying on of an unincorporated business.
- III. Whether the activities of petitioner, William Loeb, as a carton salesman during the year 1966 constitute the practice of a profession.

IV. Whether petitioner, William Loeb, had reasonable cause for failure to file a New York State unincorporated business tax return for the year 1966.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioner, William Loeb, and his wife, filed a New York State combined income tax return for the year 1966 but did not file a New York State unincorporated business tax return for that year.
- 2. On June 7, 1968, the Income Tax Bureau issued a Statement of Audit Changes against petitioner, William Loeb, imposing unincorporated business tax in the amount of \$627.44 (plus penalty) upon the income received by him from his activities as a carton salesman during the year 1966. In addition, such Statement of Audit Changes showed a reduction of allowable business expenses in the sum of \$1,745.13, thereby resulting in additional personal income tax due of \$174.51.
- 3. Petitioner, William Loeb, was a carton salesman during the year 1966. He represented two corporations, one a manufacturer, the other a jobber, in the sale of corrugated cartons and other packaging. Each corporation knew he represented the other. He maintained an office in his home.
- 4. The corporations for whom petitioner, William Loeb, sold in 1966 did not exercise any substantial control, direction, or supervision over his sales activities and techniques, or over the time he devoted to sales. He deducted "business expenses" in the amount of \$5,074.20 in connection with his sales activities on his Federal income tax return for 1966. Both corporations withheld Federal and New York State income taxes

and social security taxes from the commissions paid to him for 1966; and both corporations covered him for unemployment, disability, and workmen's compensation, and health insurance for 1966. One of the two corporations reimbursed him a fixed amount for automobile expense.

- 5. Petitioner, William Loeb, was advised by his accountant that he was not subject to unincorporated business tax.
- 6. Petitioner, William Loeb, failed to submit any documentary or other substantial evidence to support claimed deductions and modifications for the year 1966 totaling \$1,745.13 in excess of the amount allowed as substantiated by the Income Tax Bureau.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. That the income received by petitioner, William Loeb, from the corporations he represented during the year 1966 constituted income from his regular business of selling cartons and other packaging and not compensation as an employee exempt from the imposition of the unincorporated business tax in accordance with the meaning and intent of Tax Law § 703(b).
- B. That the withholding of income and social security taxes and the providing to petitioner, William Loeb, of various kinds of insurance benefits, do not constitute such control, direction, or supervision which would bring petitioner within the purview of Tax Law § 703(b). See Hardy v. Murphy, 29 A.D. 2d 1038, 289 N.Y.S.2d 694 (3d Dept. 1968).
- C. That the activities of petitioner, William Loeb, as a carton salesman during 1966 did not constitute the practice of a profession exempt from the imposition of the unincorporated business tax in accordance with the meaning and intent of Tax Law § 703(c).

- D. That the aforesaid activities of petitioner, William Loeb, during 1966 constituted the carrying on of an unincorporated business, and his income therefrom was subject to the unincorporated business tax in accordance with the meaning and intent of Tax Law § 703.
- E. That petitioner, William Loeb, had reasonable cause for failure to file a New York State unincorporated business tax return for the year 1966 and, therefore, the penalty imposed pursuant to Tax Law § 685(a) is waived.
- F. That petitioner, William Loeb, has failed to prove that he had deductions and modifications for the year 1966 in excess of the amount allowed as substantiated by the Income Tax Bureau.
- G. That the petition of William Loeb is granted to the extent of cancelling the penalty imposed pursuant to section 685(g) of the Tax Law, that the Income Tax Bureau is hereby directed to accordingly modify the Notice of Deficiency issued August 26, 1968, and that except as so granted, the petition is in all other respects denied.

DATED: Albany, New York September 13, 1976

STATE TAX COMMISSION

**1** .

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER